

Discrete Structures

Fight for Survival

Dr. Mudassir Shabbir

August 27, 2026



Substitution of Equivalent Statements

- So far, we have used truth tables to show equivalence between statements.
- Not the easiest way if we have more complex propositions.
- Can there be another way?

Idea:

In a complex statement, substitute parts with equivalent statements until we get the desired statement

It only makes sense to prove some simple but useful equivalences once, and then **re-use** them whenever they appear in complicated statements.



Substitution of Equivalent Statements

Consider the following statement:

Proposition:

Afrah has a cellphone **AND** she has a laptop.

What is the **negation** of the above statement? i.e.,

$$\neg(p \wedge q)?$$

Substitution of Equivalent Statements

What is the **negation** of the following statement:

Proposition:

Afrah has a cellphone **AND** she has a laptop.

- For the above statement to be true, both p and q should be **true**.
- If p is **False** or q is **False** then the above statement becomes **False**.
- So, the **negation** of the above statement is:

Negation:

Afrah **does not** have a cellphone **OR** she **does not** have a laptop.

$$\neg(p \wedge q) = \neg p \vee \neg q$$

De Morgan's Laws: Logical Equivalence

De Morgan's Laws:

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

Explanation: De Morgan's Laws provide a way to express the negation of a compound proposition involving logical OR and logical AND in terms of logical AND and logical OR, respectively.

Important Laws of Propositional Logic with some Errors

Law	Equivalence	Equivalence
Commutative Laws	$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$	$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$
Associative Laws	$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
Distributive Laws	$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
De Morgan's Laws	$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$
Conditional Laws	$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$	$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$
Biconditional Laws	$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$	
Identity Laws	$p \wedge F \equiv p$	$p \wedge T \equiv p$
Domination Laws	$p \vee T \equiv T$	$p \vee F \equiv F$
Double Negation Law	$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	
Idempotent Laws	$p \vee p \equiv p$	$p \wedge p \equiv p$

Important Laws of Propositional Logic

Law	Equivalence	Equivalence
Commutative Laws	$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$	$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$
Associative Laws	$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
Distributive Laws	$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
De Morgan's Laws	$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$
Conditional Laws	$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$	$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$
Biconditional Laws	$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$	
Identity Laws	$p \vee F \equiv p$	$p \wedge T \equiv p$
Domination Laws	$p \vee T \equiv T$	$p \wedge F \equiv F$
Double Negation Law	$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	
Idempotent Laws	$p \vee p \equiv p$	$p \wedge p \equiv p$

Logical Equivalence through Laws

Regarding all these equivalence rules: You should not have to memorize them, instead you should **be able to prove** them. And know **where/when to use** them.

Some Important Points:

- Know the Objective
- Be mindful of the order of terms
- Justify each step
- There could be many ways

So, what's the trick here?

- Practice, and
- Some more practice
- Justify each step
- Keep in mind the objective.

Example: $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

Prove: $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

Example: $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

Prove: $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$
Using De Morgan's Law:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q)\end{aligned}$$

Example: $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

Prove: $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$
Using De Morgan's Law:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q)\end{aligned}$$

Using Distributive Law:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q) &\equiv (\neg p \wedge p) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \\ &\equiv \textcolor{red}{F} \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q\end{aligned}$$

Example: $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

Prove: $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

Using De Morgan's Law:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q)\end{aligned}$$

Using Distributive Law:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q) &\equiv (\neg p \wedge p) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \\ &\equiv \textcolor{red}{F} \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$



Summary of Propositional Logic so far

- Introduced basic concepts of propositional logic: propositions, logical operators, truth values.
- Explored logical operators: conjunction ($\wedge$), disjunction ($\vee$), negation ($\neg$), conditional ($\rightarrow$), biconditional ($\leftrightarrow$).
- Demonstrated truth tables to evaluate compound propositions.
- Discussed logical equivalence and its importance.
- Covered De Morgan's Laws for simplifying expressions.
- Explored techniques for working with complex statements, including substitution.

Keep in mind: Logic provides tools for reasoning and problem-solving in various domains.

